JBL	99/3	(1980)	433-43

CRITICAL NOTES

THE ADVERBIAL USE OF KÎ ŢÔB

It is well known that $k\hat{i}$ in Hebrew often has the force of an intensifier or asseverative. Sometimes it is prefixed to adjectives or stative verbs to signify "very" or "how!"—thus Gen 18:20, "The cry of Sodom and Gomorrah is very great $(k\hat{i} \ r\bar{a}bb\hat{a})$;" Jer 30:7, "Oh, how great is that day $(k\hat{i} \ g\bar{a}d\hat{o}l)$!" etc. One very common combination of this sort is the expression $k\hat{i} \ r\hat{o}b$, which, from an early period, seems to have acquired specialized use as an idiomatic adverbial phrase.

Thus the expression $k\hat{i}$ $\hat{i}\hat{o}\hat{b}$ is used particularly with verbs of saying and singing, as in the familiar line of the Psalmist:

hôdû lyhwh kî tôb kî lĕ ôlām ḥasdô

(Pss 106:1, 107:1, 118:1, 29; 136:1)

Taking the phrase $k\hat{i}$ $\hat{i}\hat{o}\hat{b}$ here as referring to "the Lord" (viz. "for he is good," or even, "how good he is!") is of course possible, 4 and what is more, traditional. Hebrew has no distinct adverbial form, 5 and it is equally possible to suppose the phrase $k\hat{i}$ $\hat{i}\hat{o}\hat{b}$ is intended to describe the manner of praising—"in a goodly fashion," or perhaps even, "greatly, loudly." The whole verse might thus be rendered, "Praise the Lord greatly, for (or perhaps, 'yea') his loving-kindness is forever."

This translation is somewhat more likely in view of the frequent use of $k\hat{i}$ $j\hat{o}b$ with just such verbs of singing etc. Thus Ps 54:8—

bindābâ 'ezbēhâ lāk 'ôdeh šimkā yhwh kî tōb

- See, e.g., M. Dahood, *Psalms III* (AB;Garden City: Doubleday, 1970), who translates either "how!" (pp. 278-79) or "indeed" (see p. 157 n. 10 for references). W. F. Albright discussed Amarna $k\hat{i}$ (= "how" in both interrogative and exclamatory senses); see *EASOR* 89 (1943) p. 11 n. 17, and "The Refrain 'And God Saw *Ki Tob'*..." in *Mélanges*... A. Robert (Paris: Bloud & Gay, 1957) 22-26. (On the refrain which is the subject of the latter article, Gen 1:10, 12, 18, 21, 25, and which Albright rendered "And God saw that it was very good," see below.) The usage ought to be connected with asseverative k- in such verses as Num 11:1, Cant 1:8.
- ² Cf. 2 Sam 23:19 (hakî nikbād), discussed by Albright in "The Refrain . . ." p. 25. J. A. Montgomery ("Ras Shamra Notes VII." in JAOS 62 [1942] 49-51) adduced Ugaritic kypt ("how fair!") which he compared to Gen 18:20. Cf. also Isa 22:9 and Lam 3:22, cited by Albright.
- ³ On the expression $k\hat{\imath}$ damqi $k\hat{\imath}$ iab ("how fine, how good!") found in a cuneiform letter at Ugari: see Albright, "The Refrain. . ." p. 25. He dates the letter "probably in the thirteenth century."
- ⁴ Provided it is a stative verb. However, one would normally expect adjectival $k\hat{\imath}$ $\hat{\imath}\hat{\imath}\hat{o}\hat{b}$ $\hat{h}\hat{u}$, thus Gen 6:2, $k\hat{\imath}$ $\hat{\imath}\hat{o}\hat{b}\hat{o}\hat{t}$ $\hat{h}\hat{e}\hat{n}\hat{n}\hat{a}$, Gen 12:14, $k\hat{\imath}$ $\hat{\imath}\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{a}$ $\hat{h}\hat{i}$ $\hat{m}\hat{e}$ $\hat{o}\hat{d}$, etc. etc.
- ⁵ It is possible the *textus receptus* conceals here and there an old adverbial accusative; this of course makes no difference to our thesis.
- ⁶ In this meaning it ought perhaps to be compared with Aramaic $i\hat{o}b\hat{a}$ = much, many, very.

The second half might be translated simply: "I will praise your name greatly, Lord." "I will praise your name O Lord for it is good" (RSV) is somewhat awkward in meaning, less parallelistic, ambiguous (is the praising good? the name? the Lord?) and, as with the previous verse (see note 4), somewhat strange grammatically. Similarly, the latter half of Ps 52:11,

'ôděkā lě 'ôlām kì-'āsîtā wa'ăqawweh šimkā kî tôb neged ḥăsîdêkā

means, "I will call loadly on your name in the midst of your faithful ones." Ps 147:1 joins both $k\hat{\imath}$ $i\hat{o}b$ (greatly) and $k\hat{\imath}$ ina 'im (sweetly) ina with verbs of singing:

kî tôb zammerâ 'ĕlōhênû kî nā'îm nā'wâ těhillâ

These are not, as some have had to argue. 8 infinitives, but imperatives, viz. "Greatly sing of our God, sweetly make hymns of praise."

Finally, one might adduce here a midrashic passage in which the omission of an expected $k\hat{\imath}$ $i\hat{\imath}\delta b$ is explained. The verse in question is 2 Chron 20:21, where it is reported that, prior to a sortic against the Ammonites and Moabites, Jehosaphat appoints singers to lead in the song $\hbar\delta d\hat{u}$ lyhwh $k\hat{\imath}$ $l\hat{e}$ $\delta l\bar{a}m$ $\hbar asd\hat{o}$. The midrashic text comments:

How different is this song of thanks from all others in Scripture! For in all other songs of thanks it is said $h\hat{o}d\hat{u}$ lyhwh $k\hat{i}$ $i\hat{o}b$ $k\hat{i}$ $i\hat{e}'\hat{o}l\hat{a}m$ hasd \hat{o} , whereas here it says $h\hat{o}d\hat{u}$ lyhwh $k\hat{i}$ $i\hat{e}'\hat{o}l\hat{a}m$ hasd \hat{o} . It is that there was, as it were, no rejoicing before Him on high over the destruction of wicked men.

The omission of $k\hat{\imath}$ $\dot{\imath}\dot{\imath}\dot{o}b$ is equated with the song's lack of "rejoicing" ($\dot{sim}\dot{\mu}\dot{a}$). Apparently the midrashist understands $k\hat{\imath}$ $\dot{\imath}\dot{o}b$ as referring not to God, but to the singing! Perhaps as well we ought to see a trace of this adverbial understanding of $k\hat{\imath}$ $\dot{\imath}\dot{o}b$ in the wording of the standing prayer (' $am\hat{\imath}d\hat{a}$) preserved in most Sephardic and Oriental rituals, where the penultimate blessing concludes:

wîhalĕlû wîbārĕkû 'et šimkā haggādôl bĕ'ĕmet lĕ'ôlām kî tôb

May they praise and bless your great name, faithfully, eternally, greatly. . .

The point of the last phrase was to tie in with the theme of the benediction, God's goodness; yet the phrase itself is adverbial, doubtless modeled on the biblical examples seen above.

The same $k\hat{\imath}$ $t\hat{o}b$ is used with verbs of sensation 10 convey enjoyment. Thus Prov 24:13 —

'ěkol-běnî děbaš kí tôb wěnôpet mātôq 'al-hikkekā kēn dě 'eh hokmâ lenapšekā Eating, my son, honey with pleasure, and wild-honey sweet on the palate—so is knowing wisdom to your soul. . .

Similarly, Prov 31:18-

tā ama ki tob sohrah lo'-vikbeh ballavla nērāh

might be rendered: "She delights in her (daily) occupations, 10 nor does her candle go out at night." The misunderstanding of ki $i\delta b$'s adverbial nature has forced commentators to literalize $i\delta$ $i\delta m\hat{a}$ to "taste" and to misconstrue $sohr\delta h$ (MT $sahr\delta h$) as "merchandise," leaving the ideal woman of this passage in charge of a soup-kitchen!

We come then to the expression $r\tilde{a}$ \hat{a} $k\tilde{t}$ $t\tilde{o}b$. The word $r\tilde{a}$ \tilde{a} sometimes has the meaning of enjoy. Thus Eccl 9:9 $r\tilde{e}$ $\tilde{e}h$ $hayp\tilde{v}m$ 'im-' $i\tilde{s}\tilde{s}\tilde{a}$ ' $\tilde{a}\tilde{s}er$ ' $\tilde{a}habt\tilde{a}$ "Enjoy life with a woman whom you love." In this same sense the verb is often coupled with $t\tilde{o}b$."

mî-hā'îš heḥāpēṣ ḥayyîm 'chēb yāmîm lîr'ôt tôb

Who is the man who enjoys life, loves (his) days (and) takes pleasure (in them)?

(Ps 34:13)

kol hā'ādām šeyō'kal wĕšātâ

werā 'â jôb běkol 'ămálô

Every man that eats and drinks and takes pleasure in his toil.

(Eccl 3:13)

The phrase $r\tilde{a}'\hat{a}'\hat{a}'\hat{k}i'\hat{l}\hat{p}b$ should be connected with such usages. Thus, in the same Psalm 34, the much-debated phrase in verse 9:

ṭa'ămû ûr'û kî tôb yhwh ašrê haggeber yehseh bô

ought to be rendered: "Delight and take pleasure in the Lord, happy the man whose refuge is in him." The phrase $ki \ j \hat{o} b$ applies equally to both verbs (for the former see Prov 31:18 above).

The refrain of the first chapter of Genesis thus has nothing to do with seeing, still less with seeing "that it was good." The phrase wayyar 'èlôhîm ki-lôb means simply, "And God was most pleased." It is noteworthy that each time this refrain occurs it comes at the end of a series of actions: construed as an adjective or stative verb, lôb has no clear referent, and translators are forced into the ambiguous "it was good." But for such an idea one would more likely expect ki lôb 'āśā (cf Gen. 40:16 ki lôb pātar). In our case, therefore, it seems better to consirue ki lôb adverbially and understand the idea to be, as in Eccl 3:13 above, that of taking pleasure in one's work. However, even where there is a clear possible referent, as in Gen 1:4, wayyar 'èlôhîm 'et hā 'ôr ki-lôb, it might be better to translate, "And God was very pleased with the light." A similar use of the expression with a object might be Gen 49:15—

wayyar' měnuhâ kî tôb wě'et hâ'āres kî nā'ēmâ

which would mean, "and he was very pleased with (the) place. .."12

James L. Kugel

Yale University, New Haven, CT 36520

⁷ On the conventional apposition of *jôb* and *nā'îm* see Ps 133:1 and, in Ugaritic, C Gordon, *Ugaritic Textbook* (Rome: Pontifical Biblical Institute, 1967) 'Anath I 19:20 (The apposition in Gen 49:15 is discussed below.)

⁸ Thus Dahood, Psalms III, p. 344.

⁹ J. Z. Lauterbach, *Mekilta de-Rabbi Ishmael* (Philade phia: Jewish Publication Society, 1933) 2, 5-6.

¹⁰ Her "rounds," one might say, as per this root's meaning of "go around" in Gen 34:10, 21; 42:34, etc. Cf. Akkadian saharu(m). (W. von Soden, Akkadisches Handwörterbuch [Weisbaden: O. Harrassowitz, 1966] translates "sich wenden, herum gehen, suchen; such aufhaten)".

¹¹ Note also rā 'â běţôb [or běţûb]: Jer 29:32, Pss 27:13, 128:5, Eccl 2:1.

This translation implies the second $k\hat{i}$ is to be taken quite differently from the first. For just as the lack of a feminine ending on $i\hat{o}b$ indicates it must be construed adverbially, so the apparent feminine form $n\hat{a}$ $\hat{e}m\hat{a}$ argues that the second clause's $k\hat{i}$ means "And [he was pleased] with the land for it was pleasant," or even zeugmatically, and he saw the land, how pleasant it was." Such non-parallelism in two successive uses of $k\hat{i}$ is visible elsewhere, e.g. Lam 3:22, and might conceivably be argued for Ps 147:1 (discussed above).